Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/04/04: CIA-RDP85T00287R001302470001-6





Afghanistan S	ituation Report
---------------	-----------------

25X1

**26 December 1984** 

79-81 IMC/CB

Secret

NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225 26 December 1984

Copy

7 Q



SECRET
ORT
YEARS LATER
ained a decisive edge in cermined to oust the for the support of almost ts almost certainly be to the Soviet empire.
Near Eastern and South A
3

SECRET		



26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225

	SECRET
	25X1
PERSPECTIVE	
THE SOVIET INVASION OF AFGHANISTAN: FIVE YEARS LATER	25X1
Five years into the war in Afghanistan, neither ance has gained a decisive edge in the fighting, determined to continue the struggle. The insurgents, time since the invasion, are fiercely determined to from their homeland and command the support of almost lation. But the stakes are high for the Soviets, are believe they will ultimately subdue the resistance.	and both sides appear stronger now than at any oust the foreign invader the entire Afghan popu-
The Developing Insurgency	
Since the invasion, the fighting has gradually specuntry. Guerrilla attacks against military supply installations during 1978 and 1979 in central Afghanis along the Pakistan border had threatened the Communist prompted the Soviet invasion. The Soviets easily gain cities, but the insurgency spread to the northern and estimate that now the insurgents hold complete sway in the country and are active to some degree in the rest.	convoys, outposts, and stan and in the provinces government and probably and the upper hand in the d western provinces. We n at least two-thirds of
The insurgents, who in the beginning were little fighting with antiquated weapons under traditional become more sophisticated and effective. New leaders Masood in Kapisa Province, Zabiullah Khan in Balkh Pro Kabol Province are improving guerrilla tactics and ore to fashion new political structures in their home area	leaders, have gradually like Panjsher commander ovince, and Abdul Haq in ganization and beginning
In our judgment, the number of insurgents is grown we estimate the number of fungents to be at least 150,000, up from an estimate January 1980. Despite selected Soviet reprisals again harboring resistance fighters, support for the information in the selected Soviet reprisals again harboring resistance fighters, support for the information high. Most travelers from Afghanistan report also high despite five years of war. These travely Afghans want weapons.	11- and part-time insur- d 50,000 to 100,000 in 25X1 st villages suspected of nsurgents among Afghans that insurgent morale is
The intensity of the war—as in all guerrill different areas and at different times of the year.  Masood or those close to supplies in Pakistan—mappressure on government outposts and cleverly attack confined a lack of nerve, ammunition, or weapons, only occommunists. Some Afghans simply wait for their enemove.	Some commanders—such as aintain fairly constant convoys. Others, because casionally challenge the

26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321

SOVA M 84-10225

 SECRET		

On the whole, insurgent capabilities have improved markedly, especially in the past two years.

- -- The number of attacks on cities has increased significantly. Rocket barrages on Kabul are more frequent, and security in Herat and Qandahar remains tenuous. The resistance has also been able to disrupt the Afghan economy with attacks on power and supply/distribution systems.
- -- Resistance capability to counter Soviet and Afghan airpower has improved. We estimate that the Soviet and Afghan Air Forces have lost over 500 aircraft in combat since the invasion with losses increasing little by little in the last two years. This year the insurgents for the first time brought down a Soviet IL-76 transport.
- Many insurgents appear to be making better use of heavy weapons, mines, and nontraditional tactics.

## Insurgent Limitations

The resistance remains far from a coherent national movement. It is still in large measure a spontaneous rebellion of a large number of ethnic groups, villages, and tribes. Traditional rivalries among tribes, clans, and religious factions, together with personal hatreds, still cause bloody fighting and in many areas prevent much military coordination.

The resistance also is hampered by weak political leadership. The absence of an organization able to speak for the resistance as a whole limits its efforts to influence international opinion, to have a direct voice in negotiations on an Afghan settlement, to ensure continued diplomatic and material support, and to coordinate military efforts.

Although the insurgents are better supplied than in the past, many groups still lack sufficient arms and ammunition, especially to deal with Soviet airpower. Many insurgents are virtually untrained and have little knowledge of explosives or modern weapons. The ability to adapt to Soviet strategies and vulnerabilities derives much more from combat experience of individual groups than any knowledge of guerrilla doctrine.

## The Soviet Military Effort

The Soviets have successfully achieved their goal of sustaining the Babrak regime, but they have been unable to inflict more than temporary set-backs on the resistance or to substantially reduce insurgent pressures. The Soviets' unimpressive showing against the insurgents has resulted in part from an apparent effort to minimize costs and casualties. The number of Soviet troops has grown slowly since the invasion from 85,000 to 110,000. We estimate casualties have reached 25,000 and direct military costs are running

26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET
t some \$3 billion a year. The Soviets and Afghans have lost some 66 ircraft in combat and in accidents.  An unimaginative and often rigid counterinsurgency strategy has contributed to the Soviets' lack of success in thwarting the insurgents. The Soviet enerally have relied on stereotyped search and destroy operations, that often the insurgents to escape before initial assaults.
The Afghan military, inept to begin with, has shown no significant approvement. Desertions and casualties aggravate manpower problems caused by a sufficient conscription. We estimate that 30,000 men desert each year from the 50,000-man force. Shortages of equipment, low equipment readiness rates and the inability of many soldiers to use available equipment exacerbate the signan Army's problems.
Nor is Moscow having success in resolving the split in the Afghan ruling arty.  factionalism—rooted in social and thric differences—has resulted in assassinations, armed clashes, collaboration with insurgents, and diversion of government leaders from the tasks of communication and implementing government policy.
oviet Frustrations
frustration over the lace progress in Afghanistan is high among middle level Soviet officials ctions the Soviet leadership took in 1984 to improve the effectiveness of the coviet military in Afghanistan and step up pressure on Pakistan indicate that he leadership shares these concerns to some extent.
Good evidence suggests that the war has unfavorably affected attitude and behavior of Soviet citizens. It has led more citizens to dodge militar ervice, introduced Soviet youths to new forms of drug abuse, fed long
tanding ethnic tensions, increased working-class resentment of intelligentsi rivileges, provided new opportunities for corruption, and intensified populary nicism about regime propaganda.

25X1

26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

	25X1
How the Soviets View the Situation	
Moscow has been slow in coming to grips with the real nature of the problem. This is partly because of overly optimistic progress reports from Kabul and partly from a reluctance to admit that the majority of the Afghans do not want a Marxist revolution. Officials in charge of implementing Soviet policy in Afghanistan still allege slow progress is being made and that within another year or two the resistance will be essentially under control.	25X1
Despite the frustrations and difficulties in fighting a resilient and elusive foe in Afghanistan, there is no indication that the Soviets see their situation as desperate or that Soviet resolve is flagging.  the leadership at the outset did not anticipate that pacifying Afghanistan would be a long-term proposition.	25X1
The US Embassy and Western journalists based in the USSR report that the majority of the elite and the man in the street in Moscow appear to accept the leadership's security rationale for Soviet involvement and view the war as a necessary evil.	<b>25X1</b> 25X1
The Soviets clearly find the international political costs acceptable. The international community imposed unprecedented economic and political sanctions on the USSR because of the invasion, but after five years, nearly all of the countries that cooled relations with Moscow have resumed normal political and economic contacts.	25X1
almost certainly believe—that any move to withdraw without securing the Marxist regime would substantially weaken their international posture and encourage the West to step up its pressure on Soviet interests around the globe. Victory in Afghanistan, on the other hand, would add substantially to the USSR's image as an effective superpower that had succeeded in a power grab against a neighboring state. And the Soviets would have enhanced military capability to intimidate other regional states.	25X1
<u>Outl∞k</u>	
We think Soviet confidence about getting the resistance under control is unwarranted and that the USSR will need to maintain sizable forces in Afghanistan for years to come. In the near term, the Soviets will probably continue their economy of force strategy, doing no more than necessary to keep abreast of improvements in insurgent capabilities and stepping up pressure on Pakistan through further cross-border attacks. Moscow probably anticipates that improved insurgent effectiveness will impact only slowly and unevenly on Soviet forces and that even with better arms and training, the insurgents will	

25X1

26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225

be unable to inflict a major defeat on Soviet forces.

SECRET
Further augmentations in Soviet forces are likely. We believe there will be no more than 5,000 to 10,000 additional troops in the coming year. Reinforcements of 20,000 to 40,000 troops are possible, however, if Moscow moves decisively to neutralize recent gains by the resistance. Modest increases in air and ground force capability are also likely. Tactical adjustments, such as more aggressive use of Soviet troops and greater reliance on small-unit actions, are likely, but they will be constrained by Moscow's desire to hold casualties to a minimum and by Soviet officers' lack of flexibility and initiative.
In our judgment, the insurgents will improve their effectiveness through better training and weaponry. Despite social, political, and ethnic differences, the insurgents are likely to improve interregional military cooperation gradually. Political unity, however, is likely to remain elusive. They will remain unable to engage the Soviets head—on in positional

Prospects for a political settlement on Afghanistan will remain dim as long as both sides are confident that time is on their side. We believe Moscow will continue to use the UN talks on Afghanistan to counter international criticism and probe for concessions by Islamabad.

25X6

warfare.

26 December 1984 NESA M 84-10321 SOVA M 84-10225 25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/04/04: CIA-RDP85T00287R001302470001-6 Secret

**Secret**